# A Helium Densitometer for Use with Powdered Materials 

By Walter C. Schumb and Edmund S. Rittner ${ }^{1}$

An apparatus employing air as the pycnometer fluid instead of a liquid in the determination of the density of solids was described as early as 1797.2 The method involves measuring the change in pressure required to increase or decrease a given volume of gas by a known amount and repeating the measurement when a portion of the gas volume is occupied by a known weight of the powdered solid. Numerous modifications of the apparatus with varying degrees of refinement have since appeared. ${ }^{3,4,5}$

However, the adsorption of air by many finely divided solids imposes a serious limitation upon the usefulness of this method. To remove this limitation, the use of helium as the pycnometer fluid has been proposed ${ }^{4}$ and since then a number of instruments employing helium have been described. $6,7,8,9$

The simpler forms of densitometers ${ }^{6,5}$ measure directly the volume of the pycnometer minus the sample volume by allowing helium at constant temperature and pressure to expand into the evacuated pycnometer. The more complicated ones "t were originally intended for studies on adsorption of gases and require the measurement of pressure, volume and temperature both before and after the admission of helium to the pycnometer. All of the instruments, as described in the literature, employ pyenometers of large volume and have provisions for thermostating part or all of the apparatus. (We see no objection in the apparatus of Howard and Hulett,' ${ }^{\text {b }}$ however, to the employment of a much smaller pycnometer volume than the $40-\mathrm{mll}$. bulb employed by the authors.)

We have modified the apparatus of Karns ${ }^{5}$ in

[^0]several important respects, in order to permit the use of helium as the pycnometer fluid and to increase the accuracy of measurement over that claimed by him. The advantages that measurements can be conducted on small samples and that elaborate thermostatic baths are not essential have been retained.
A diagram of the apparatus is shown in Fig. 1. The sample is placed in the small, one-ml. ${ }^{10}$ flasklike container, of which an enlarged view is also given in the figure. The neck of this container is ground for about one cm . of its length to fit the inner part of a slightly tapering ground glass joint, fashioned from one-mm. capillary tubing. The manometer is also constructed of one-mm. capillary tubing, matched for size and uniformity of bore, and of $7-\mathrm{mm}$. o. d. tubing, the volume between marks M and N being made approximately equal to the volume of the sample container. The glassware to the right of C and also stopcocks A and B provide for the careful evacuation of the powder and introduction of helium prior to performing the compression. ${ }^{11}$ Helium gas, obtained from a tank and purified by slow passage through activated charcoal cooled to the temperature of liquid air, is stored in the large bulb at a pressure somewhat above atmospheric. All stopcocks subject to internal pressures greater than one atm., particularly A and C, are provided with brass clamps not shown in the main diagram. Pressure is applied to the enclosed system by means of the levelling bulb and mercury reservoirs J and K . The raising of the levelling bulb is accomplished by the cord which passes over the pulley and is wound on the small reel, rotation of which is closely controlled by the large gear-andratchet device. Pressures are read by means of the maple meter stick, two meters in length, engine-divided in mm.

Theory and Method of Calculation.-The equations for calculating the unknown volume of the powdered sample can be simply derived using Boyle's law.
(10) This volume could be reduced to permit working with much sataller amounts of sample, but the accuracy obtainable would prob ally be somewhat impaired as a result.
(11) All of the stopcocks shown in the fignee are nol alsomitely nacessary: 1i and H could be eliminated, if desired.

Let $V_{t}=$ volume included between marks M and N ,
$V_{2}=$ volume included between mark N , stopcock C , and the sample container,
$V_{3}=$ volume of the sample,
$P_{1}=$ initial pressure in system (atmospheric pressure).
$P_{2}=$ final pressure in $V_{2}$ when compression is carried out with $V_{2}$ empty,
$P_{3}=$ final pressure in $V_{2}$ when compression is carried out with the sample in $V_{2}$
$H_{2}=$ height of mercury corresponding to pressure $P_{2}$ (i.e., $H_{2}=P_{2}-P_{1}$ ),
$H_{3}=$ height of mercury corresponding to pressure $P_{3}$ (i. e., $H_{3}=P_{3}-P_{1}$ )

Then, applying Boyle's law

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p_{1}}{\bar{P}_{2}}=\frac{V_{2}}{V_{1}+V_{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P_{1}}{P_{3}}=\frac{V_{2}-V_{3}}{V_{1}+V_{2}-V_{3}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving these equations simultaneously, eliminating $\mathrm{V}_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{3}=\Gamma_{2}\left(\frac{\left(P_{3}-P_{1}\right)-\left(P_{2}-P_{1}\right)}{\left(P_{3}-\frac{P_{1}}{}\right)}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{3}=V_{2}\left(\frac{H_{3}-H_{2}}{\overline{H_{3}}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$V_{2}$ is a constant of the apparatus which can be determined by measuring $H_{3}$ and $H_{2}$ when a sample of known volume is employed. A weighed quantity of mercury at a known temperature constitutes a suitable calibration standard. $H_{2}$ need be accurately determined but once. If equation (1) is solved for $P_{2}-P_{1}$, it is found that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{2}-P_{1}=H_{2}=\frac{\left(V_{1}\right)}{\left(V_{2}\right)} P_{1}=(\text { constant }) P_{1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence if the barometer is read when $H_{2}$ is determined and when all subsequent determinations of $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ are made, the value of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ corresponding to any value of $P_{1}$ readily can be calculated. In an actual density determination, therefore, all that need be measured are $H_{3}$ and the weight of the sample.

To calculate the density of the sample the barometric pressure and $H$ readings are corrected to $0^{\circ} .^{12}$

Letting $P_{\mathrm{A}}=$ barometric pressure when $H_{3}$ was obtained and $P_{\mathrm{B}}=$ barometric pressure when $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ was obtained, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ can be corrected to the value it would be at $P_{\mathrm{A}}$ by use of equation (5) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2}\left(\text { at } P_{\mathrm{A}}\right)=\frac{\left[H_{2}\left(\text { at } P_{\mathrm{B}}\right)\right]\left[P_{\mathrm{A}}\right]}{\left[P_{\mathrm{B}}\right]} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $V_{3}$ can be calculated from equation (4), and

[^1]finally the density is obtained as the quotient of the known weight of sample and $V_{3}$.


Fig. 1

## Experimental Procedure

The pycnometer is filled as completely as possible with a weighed quantity of sample, the inner end of the ground joint lightly greased along the upper portion of the ground surface, and the pycnometer clamped on. With the level of mercury from reservoir $K$ just above $A$ ( $A$ and $B$ closed) the sample and manometer are evacuated (at an initially slow rate by proper manipulation of C , $D$, and $F$ ) by means of a mechanical oil pump and then helium is admitted into the manometer from the reservoir. $B$ is then slowly opened and the mercury level raised to mark $M$, the slight excess pressure being relieved by means of $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$, and E . With C closed, the mercury level is raised to N and the height of mercury in the long arm is read on the meter stick. This height minus the height

Tablel 1

| Densities of Powdered Substances ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Substance | Deusity" measured. g. /ce. | Temp., ${ }^{\circ}$ |  | Density fro (sp. gr except w | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m literature } \\ & 20^{\circ} / 4^{\mathrm{o}} \\ & \text { iere noted) } \end{aligned}$ | Difference between measured and literature values, $\%$ | Notes |
| $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ (calcite) | $2.71 . \%$ | 29.5 | 0.1 | 2.711 | 25.2\% $4^{\circ}$ | $+0.07$ | Total of 4 detns. on 2 samples of Iceland spar -primary standard |
| $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ | 4.347 | 27.5 | . 1 | 4.352 | $19^{\circ} / 4^{\circ}$ | --. 12 | C. P. sample |
| KCl | 1.984 | 28.5 | 1 | 1.988 |  | $-.20$ | C. P. sample |
| $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 7.134 | 29.95 | 1.5 | 7.15 |  | --. 22 | C. P. sample ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| $\mathrm{KHC}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 1.634 | 27.5 | . 1 | 1.636 |  | -. 12 | Primary standard |
| $\mathrm{As}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (monoclinic) | +. 152 | 27.5 | $\because$ | 4.15 |  | $1!$ | C. P. $\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ rectystallized and converted |
| ZnO (hexagonal) | 5.590 | 27.3 | 1.11 | $\therefore .606$ |  | - 20 | C. P. sample, pumped for two hours |

[^2]corresponding to N constitutes $H_{3}$. In addition the barometric pressure and the average temperature of the long mercury column are determined.

Discussion of Errors.-Errors in the measurements can arise from the following causes:
(1) variations in setting the mercury colunn on the marks;
(2) sticking of mercury in the capillary tubing;
(3) changes in temperature of the surroundings during a determination;
f) changes in barometric pressure during a distermination;
(5) variance in the volume of $V_{2}$ from ont: experiment 10 another
(a) in accordance with the amount of slopcock grease employed,
(b) resulting from assembly of the ground joint,
(c) arising from differential expansion of the flask depending upon the pressure employed;
(6) deviation from the perfect gas law;
(7) changes in temperature of the helium owing to the heat of compression;
(8) adsorption of helium by the sample;
9) condensation of vapor of sample.

The errors which most greatly affect the precision of measurement are (1) and (2). From four determinations of $H_{3}$ (or $H_{2}$ ) the average deviation from the mean has been found to be of the order of $\pm 0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$. of mercury; from two determinations, $\pm 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. An inaccuracy of 0.5 mm . in the value of $H_{3}-H_{2}$ can constitute as small an error as $0.1 \%$, when $H_{3}-H_{2}$ is about 500 mm . Such a value of $H_{3}-H_{2}$ is easily attainable when

[^3]the ratio of bulk to true density of the powder is favorable, owing to the completeness with which the free volume of $V_{2}$ can be filled with sample. The percentage error in $H_{3}$ causes a somewhat lower percentage error in $V_{3}$, as the former is partially compensated by an error in the same sense in $H_{8}-H_{2}$. However, a small error in $H_{2}$ can give rise to a large percentage error in $H_{3}-H_{2}$ when the latter is small. This can be checked by calibrating the apparatus with increasing amounts of mercury. The calculated value of $V_{2}$ will vary systematically with $F_{3}$ (of mercury) if there is a small constant error in $H_{2}$.

Errors due to (3) and (4) are very infrequent as the time required to compress the gas and to read the pressure is so short. Errors resulting from (5), (6), and (7) have been found to be negligible. Errors (8) and (9) are inherent in the sample and are inappreciable for all substances that do not adsorb helium appreciably at room temperature and at pressures up to about three atmospheres, and the vapor pressures of which are less than abont 0.5 mm . These conditions are met by a large majority of solid substances. Whenever there is doubt concerning the absence of helium adsorption, it is recommended that measurements be made at different pressures (by varying the sample volume).

## Experimental Results

Representative data obtained by means of the helium densitometer are given in Table I, wherein they are compared with density data taken from the literature. The fata indicate that the den-
sities of finely powdered substances can be determined with an accuracy which in general agrees with the precision of measurement and is of the order of $\pm 0.1 \%$ in cases of favorable ratios of bulk to true density (about 0.5).

The elimination of the air adsorption error by the use of helium is well illustrated by the case of zinc oxide. As is seen in the table, when compression was carried out in helium, the measured density differed from the literature value by $-0.29 \%$, but when the determination was carried out in air, the divergence was found to be $+15 \%$,
even when the sample had been first evacuated and then dry air admitted.

## Summary

1. A modification of the Karns densitometer for solid materials has been described, which is applicable to fine powders which do not appreciably adsorb helium and do not possess appreciable vapor pressures at room temperature.
2. The method is accurate to $\pm 0.1 \%$ using samples of about $0.5-\mathrm{cc}$. volume and a ratio of bulk to true density of about 0.5 .
Cambridge, Massachusetts Received April 20, 1943
[Contribution from the ChemiStry Laboratory of the University of California at LoS Angeles]

## The Solubility of Potassium Iodide in Potassium Hydroxide Solutions at $20^{\circ}$

## By H. Darwin Kirschman and Richard Pomeroy

During the course of work at this Laboratory it became desirable to determine the solubility of potassium iodide in solutions of potassium hydroxide from 0 to 14.35 N . To facilitate the use of these data, density determinations were later made at a number of concentrations.

Brönsted ${ }^{1}$ gives the results of seven determina-

## Table I

| Solubility Data at $20^{\circ}$ |  | Density Data at $20^{\circ}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{KOH}^{a}$ moles/lite | $\underset{\text { moles/liter }}{\mathrm{K} 1}$ | $\mathrm{KOH}^{a}$ moles/liter | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Density } \\ & \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml} . \end{aligned}$ |
| 14.35 | 0.556 | 14.35 | 1.593 |
| 13.28 | . 651 | 13.28 | 1.576 |
| 12.51 | 759 | 12.04 | 1.555 |
| 11.05 | . 981 | 11.11 | 1.539 |
| 10.53 | 1.107 | 10.01 | 1.529 |
| 8.814 | 1.541 | 9.010 | 1.524 |
| 8.161 | 1.733 |  |  |
| 7.050 | 2.171 | 7.767 | 1.525 |
| 6.624 | 2.292 | 6.810 | 1.531 |
| 6.453 | $2.369^{b}$ | 6.524 | 1.533 |
| 5.853 | 2.634 |  |  |
| 5.816 | 2.650 | 5. 002 | 1.553 |
| 4.753 | 3.145 |  |  |
| 4.111 | 3.485 |  |  |
| 4.000 | 3.525 | 3.642 | 1.582 |
| 2.405 | 4.454 | 2.480 | 1.613 |
| 1.860 | 4.818 |  |  |
| 1.358 | 5.132 | 1.386 | 1.653 |
| 0.783 | 5.539 | 0.1235 | 1.703 |
| . 000 | 6.089 |  |  |
| . 000 | $6.109^{\text {c }}$ | 0.000 | $1.716^{\circ}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Including about $1 \%$ of potassium carbonate as equivalent potassium hydroxide. ${ }^{b} 0.40 \mathrm{~N}$ in added carbonates. ${ }^{6}$ From Seidell, "Solubilities of Inorganic Compounds," Third edition, Vol. I, p. 812.

[^4]tions at concentrations of potassium hydroxide from 9.41 to 15.02 N . His results differ from ours by $10-20 \%$. The complete absence of experimental procedures in his report makes it difficult to suggest the cause of this variation.

The results of our measurements are presented in Table I and Fig. 1.


Fig. 1.

## Experimental

In general, a saturated solution of potassium iodide in distilled water was added to a warm solu-


[^0]:    (i) A. D. Little Post-Doctorate Fellow in Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Fechnology, 1941-1942.
    (2) Say, Anr, chim. 1, 23 (1797).
    (3) Regrasit, A un, chim. 3, 14, 207 (1845): Rüdori, Ber., 12, 249 (1879); Teleney and McKeehan, Phys. Req., 30, 189 (1910); Oberbeck, Wied. Arnaleu, 67, 209 (1899); Carman, Phys. Rev., 26, 396 (1908) ; Zehuder, Anu. Physik, 10, 40 (1903); 15, 328 (1904);
    
     (1922).
    (5) Karns, This JotiRnal, 48, 1176 (1926)
    (6) Howard and Hulett, J. Phys, Chem., 28, 1082 (1929).
    i7) Davidson, J. Textile (M.si, 18, 1175, (1927).
    (8) Stamm, J. Phys. (hem, 33, 308 (11029)
    

[^1]:    (12) "International Critical Tables." McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, N. Y., 1926, Vol. I, p. 68.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Slight differences between measured and recorded values might possibly be attributed to the different temperatures at which the densities were determined and also to errors in some of the recorded values of the same order of magnitude as the differences. ${ }^{b}$ Results are for two determinations except where noted. ${ }^{c}$ Particle size determination microscopically performed on sample. Largest particles were a few hundredths of a mm. in diameter; smallest visible under a magnification of $200 \times$ were about 0.001 mm . in diameter.

[^3]:    (13) 'International Critical Tables," Miritam-Hill Bron C. 1
    

[^4]:    (1) Bronsted, Thes Journal, 42, 1448 (1920).

